

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/791,678 | 03/02/2004 | Jeffry Jovan Philyaw | PHLY-26,664 | 2622 |
| 25883 HOWISON & | 7590 05/14/200 ARNOTT, L.L.P | 3 | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 741 | 715 | | COULTER, KENNETH R | |
| DALLAS, TX 75374-1715 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2141 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/14/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patents@dalpat.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/791,678 | PHILYAW, JEFFRY JOVAN | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kenneth R. Coulter | 2141 | | | | |

| | Refilletti R. Coultei | 2141 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period out under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months | of the date of | | | | | |
| filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to | | | cause | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | | E below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | | tucina or simplifyina th | ne issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | ion form for appear by materially rec | adding or onliping in | 10 100000 | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reject | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (f | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allnon-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hefore or on the date of filing a No | atice of Anneal will not | he entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | /Kenneth R Coulter/ | | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2141 | | | | | | |
| | . Illiary Examiner, Art O | = 171 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims 1 - 36 under 35 USC 102(e) with regard to Wilz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,152,369).